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Forecasting peak demand

In this presentation, we discuss methodologies for forecasting peak demand.

Any proposed methodology should be evaluated by its accuracy.

An accurate model is just as likely to over-forecast as it is to under-forecast peak demand in a given year.
Motivation for the study

Annual peak demand is anomalous and the forecasting methodology must take this into account.

Current approaches largely rely on OLS, which is best suited to forecasting average consumption and not peak demand:
- OLS with one data point for each annual peak
- OLS on a subset of relatively extreme days

We propose Quantile Regression (QR) as a superior solution.

We compare QR and OLS methods of the same functional form using 32 “utilities” in a meta-study.
Data (1999—2013)

We model
- Daily maximum hourly demand using all summer (May-September) days

Using
- Monthly energy
- Maximum daily temperature (contemporaneous and lag)
- Minimum daily temperature (contemporaneous and lag)
- Month fixed effects
- Day of week fixed effects
- Dummy variable for weekday holiday
- Quarterly index of economic activity*
- Daily humidity*
- Monthly CDD and HDD*

* when available
**Approach**

Daily maximum demand is predicted separately by utility and the same functional form is used for all utilities.

We estimate QR and OLS using data from 1999-2008 (the “in sample” or “estimation” period).

We evaluate the methods using the 2009-2013 data (the “out of sample” period).
Decomposing maximum demand

We can decompose maximum demand into two parts:

- Predictable demand
  We can use weather, sales, and other variables to predict maximum demand.

- Unpredictable shock
  There are idiosyncratic components of demand that are not predictable.
OLS versus QR

The day establishing peak demand likely has large values for both of these components.

- Many days in the summer exhibit severe weather; the one with the highest demand will be the one with the highest demand shock.
- OLS can be used to create forecasts for days with large predictable demand.
- OLS cannot be used to create forecasts for days with large shocks.
- QR can be used to create forecasts for days with both large predictable demand and large shocks.

An accurate peak demand model must incorporate both components.
The questions that OLS and QR answer

**OLS**
- For a given level of the predictors, what is the average level of demand that is to be expected?
- This model incorporates a shock of size 0 (the average).

**QR**
- QR requires specifying a percentile of interest; 90%, say.
- For a given level of the predictors, what is the 90th percentile of demand that is to be expected?
- This model incorporates a 90th percentile shock.
Quantiles

The quantile is estimated to provide accurate in-sample predictions of peak demand.

The further the estimated quantile is from 50, the greater the difference between the QR and OLS models.
95% confidence intervals for optimal quantile
**Evaluation**

For each year and each utility, we compare:
- Highest level of hourly demand predicted by the model
- Highest level of hourly demand actually witnessed (non-coincident)

We provide errors for two sets of predictions:
- In sample prediction
- Oracle forecasts
  These out-of-sample forecasts use the true values of the predictors.
Annual absolute prediction errors by utility-year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>In sample</th>
<th>Oracle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Out of sample Oracle MPE by utility

Model
- QR
- OLS
Out of sample Oracle MAPE by utility

MAPE

Utility

Model
- QR
- OLS
Performance summary

Across utilities, QR:
- Has a mean out-of-sample prediction error of 0.05% compared to -4.82% for OLS
- Has a mean out-of-sample absolute prediction error of 3.05% compared to 5.28% for OLS, a reduction of 42%

By utility, QR:
- Has a negative MPE for 15 of 32 utilities, while OLS has a negative MPE for 30 of 32 utilities
- Has a smaller MAPE than OLS for 24 out of 32 utilities
- Has a median MAPE that is 25% smaller than that for OLS
**Results**

Peak demand is a function of predictable demand and unpredictable shocks.

- OLS only considers the predictable component of demand.
- Shocks are larger on annual peak days compared to non-peak days.
- QR incorporates the size of the shock term in addition to the predictable component.

**We see that**

- The OLS model generally under-predicts peak demand.
- The QR model generally provides an accurate estimate of peak demand.

**These results generally hold across utilities.**
Forecast intervals

We also develop a bootstrapping method to produce forecast intervals for peak demand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>95% interval coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In sample</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Peak demand days are differentiated from other high demand days by the presence of extreme shocks to demand.

OLS does not account for this distinguishing feature of these days and the approach’s predictions are biased downward.

Focusing on days with high levels of predictors (rather than high levels of shocks) does not counteract this bias.

Quantile regression can incorporate extreme shocks to better predict peak demand.
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